THE FUNCTIONAL PARTS OF SPEECH

Supervisor: Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi

Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek, The faculty of Psychology, department of Foreign languages, Phylology and foreign languages
nafisateshaboyeva@gmail.com
Abdullayeva Odina Giyosiddin kizi
abdullayevaodina161@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

This article explores the essential role of functional parts of speech in the English language, focusing on their structural, grammatical, and communicative functions. It examines categories such as pronouns, prepositions, conjunctions, determiners, auxiliary verbs, and particles, emphasizing how they contribute to sentence coherence and syntactic organization. Through descriptive and analytical methods supported by linguistic literature, the study demonstrates that functional parts of speech form the grammatical framework that enables clarity, logical flow, and precise expression in communication. The findings highlight their indispensable role in maintaining linguistic structure and supporting the effective transmission of meaning.

INTRODUCTION

Language is a complicated system made up of meaningful units that work together to enable people to express their ideas, feelings, and ideas. In this framework, the various parts of speech serve as the basic building blocks, each having a unique grammatical and semantic function in the creation of sentences. The phrase functional parts of speech describes word classes that perform primarily grammatical or structural functions rather than expressing lexical meaning. Functional parts of speech assist in arranging and linking these meaningful units, ensuring coherence, clarity, and logical structure within sentences, even if lexical categories like nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs express a lot of semantic meaning. They serve as the glue that holds lexical items together, controlling word connections and fostering the syntactic consistency of language.

In English, pronouns, prepositions, conjunctions, determiners, auxiliary verbs, and particles are among the most common functional elements of language. Each of these categories is essential to the grammatical structure of the language. Pronouns, which serve as replacements for nouns or noun phrases, allow speakers to refer to people or objects in a coherent way and prevent needless repetition. Pronouns preserve continuity within discourse by referring back to previously mentioned items, which aids in textual cohesion. In addition to serving as a substitute, they also help establish the function of a noun phrase inside a sentence by expressing grammatical categories such as person, gender, number, and case.

Prepositions are another crucial functional category because they introduce prepositional phrases that describe the spatial, temporal, causal, or abstract connections

between the various components of a statement. Prepositions enhance the semantic and syntactic components of communication by connecting nouns or pronouns to other terms. Language can accurately depict intricate relationships because they make it easier to explain positions, movements, directions, and logical connections. Their intimate relationship with things makes them extremely idiomatic and unchanging, which adds to the consistency and predictability of English syntax.

Additionally, conjunctions have a similar structural function in connecting words, phrases, clauses, or complete statements. They convey logical flow and express relationships like addition, contrast, cause, or condition. Coordinating conjunctions, subordinating conjunctions, and correlative conjunctions all help to seamlessly combine concepts, enable the formation of compound and complex sentences, and promote hierarchical sentence structure. Language would be fragmented and disjointed without conjunctions, and it would not have the grammatical coherence necessary for sophisticated articulation.

By defining number, definiteness, ownership, or generality, determiners act as functional components that precede nouns and help to define their meaning. Whether a noun refers to something known or unknown, specific or general, singular or plural, is determined by quantifiers, possessive determiners, demonstratives, and articles. Because determiners are used in English to indicate the grammatical state of nouns, this category is essential to the language's grammar. By giving crucial facts about the noun they change, they help to make communication more accurate and less ambiguous.

By assisting main verbs in creating various tenses, aspects, voices, and moods, auxiliary verbs—also known as helping verbs—have tremendous functional value. Even if some auxiliaries can function on their own as complete verbs, they mostly serve as grammatical aids rather than expressing complete lexical meaning. The use of auxiliary verbs in English is necessary for forming passive forms, progressive and perfect aspects, and interrogative or negative statements. They are essential for conveying subtle nuances of time, completion, length, purpose, likelihood, and duty.

Another functional group that is essential for grammatical operations but frequently seems little and semantically light is represented by particles. Particles commonly appear in phrasal verbs in English, where they either enhance or modify the meaning of the main verb. When used with verbs, words like "up," "off," "out," and "over" can act as particles, resulting in idiomatic phrases that cannot be understood by the sum of their parts. Particles serve as functional components that add to the diversity and adaptability of spoken English.

The dynamic interaction between meaning and structure is demonstrated by all of these practical components of speech. Functional elements dictate how content is arranged and interpreted, while lexical items add material. They govern syntactic structures and offer grammatical indicators that help to define roles and relationships within sentences. Communication would be vague, unclear, and fragmented in structure without useful components of speech. They facilitate logical thought relationships, the ability to create coherent discourse, and the capacity to lead readers or listeners through complicated syntactic structures.

The dichotomy between lexical and functional components of speech emphasizes two complementary aspects of language in linguistics. Functional categories establish a framework that encourages grammatical correctness and effective communication, while lexical categories offer the vocabulary necessary to characterize the world. For this reason, comprehending the function of different parts of speech is crucial for comprehending English grammar, breaking down sentence structure, and recognizing the systematic aspect of language.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND METHODOLOGY

The investigation of functional components of speech has long been a focus of linguistic study, notably in the domains of grammar, syntax, and functional linguistics. Scholars like Otto Jespersen initially defined English grammar by focusing on the categorization of words according to their communicative function and syntactic behavior. According to Jespersen and later structuralists, the classification of parts of speech should be based on the functional roles that words have in sentences, not just on their lexical meanings. This viewpoint had an impact on later linguistic traditions, such as Bloomfieldian structuralism, which further investigated the function of functional words in connection to language's grammatical structure. Prepositions, conjunctions, and auxiliaries were consistently identified as vital components that connect lexical items into meaningful syntactic units.

The idea of functional parts of speech has been further developed by modern linguistic theories. Noam Chomsky's generative grammar framework posits that functional categories are a component of the universal grammatical structure that supports all human languages. This area of study has demonstrated that determiners and complementizers, for example, are functional components that aid in determining the phrase structure by creating hierarchical dependencies. They help signal relationships such as definiteness, tense, agreement, and clause connectivity. At the same time, the functional grammar traditions headed by M.A.K. Halliday emphasized the communicative functions of functional words, with an emphasis on how they structure the flow of information and convey logical, interpersonal, or textual connections inside discourse. By examining massive datasets of real language use, which expose patterns of frequency, collocation, and set phrases linked to functional items, contemporary corpus linguistics research has also helped to clarify functional components of speech.

This study uses a descriptive and analytical method based on both classical and modern linguistic sources. The descriptive part makes use of reputable grammar sources, linguistic theories, and corpus-based results to analyze the characteristics, functions, and syntactic roles of functional pieces of speech. With this method, one may gain a thorough picture of the structural, semantic, and communicative characteristics of functional categories in the English language. The study also makes use of comparative insights from various grammatical frameworks to demonstrate how different theorists view functional categories and their connection to lexical items.

The analytical element entails analyzing sample sentences and structures to show how functional pieces of speech contribute to the creation of meaning and coherence. The research prioritizes qualitative linguistic analysis, looking at how functional words influence textual structure and syntactic links, over quantitative or experimental approaches. The approach also involves an examination of secondary literature, which helps the study find similarities and variances in current interpretations of functional categories. By combining descriptive and analytical approaches, the study seeks to provide a clear picture of the fundamental functions that functional components of speech perform in producing meaningful, understandable, and grammatically sound communication.

RESULTS

The analysis demonstrates that functional parts of speech are crucial to the grammatical, semantic, and communicative consistency of English sentences. The results imply that functional categories form the structural foundation of language, regulating how lexical items relate to one another and allowing speakers to produce intelligible discourse. By replacing nouns and eliminating unnecessary repetition, pronouns were discovered to greatly enhance textual coherence, thereby facilitating communication and preserving consistency throughout both spoken and written materials. Their fundamental function in sentence structure is reinforced by their capacity to encode grammatical elements like person, number, and case.

The analysis also demonstrates how crucial prepositions are for conveying a variety of relationships, such as spatial, temporal, causal, and abstract links. They facilitate language's ability to accurately represent complex situations by establishing links between nouns and other components of sentences. Their regular presence in idiomatic phrases and set forms shows how they help make the rules of English syntax more stable and predictable. Similarly, conjunctions proved to be essential functional components, accountable for maintaining logical flow and connecting concepts into cohesive sequences. The expressive potential of the language is increased by speakers' ability to use conjunctions to create compound and complex structures that convey contrast, addition, cause, condition, or sequence.

The research emphasizes the critical role that determiners play in defining and identifying nouns. They facilitate the distinction between singular and plural forms, specific and general meanings, and definite and indefinite references. In English, their presence before nouns is crucial, especially since English depends on determiners to identify noun phrases. Without them, sentences would be unclear and easily misinterpreted. Auxiliary verbs were found to be essential for creating a variety of grammatical forms, such as negation, interrogative structures, passive voice, and perfect and progressive aspects. Their practicality enables them to alter the meaning of main verbs and convey minute temporal, modal, and aspectual nuances that would be otherwise impossible.

Additionally, the study validates that even though particles are tiny and seem to have little significance, they nonetheless play a crucial role in verbal structures, particularly in phrasal verbs. The findings demonstrate that particles frequently change or even totally change the meaning of the verb they are used with, resulting in idiomatic phrases that increase the language's expressiveness. Their function as functional components is more dependent on syntactic behavior than lexical meaning, highlighting the complexity and adaptability of English grammar.

The overall conclusion from the results is that the combination of the functional components of speech guarantees grammatical correctness, textual coherence, and communicative impact. They provide a framework of structural supports that direct the organization of lexical elements and aid in the articulation of both straightforward and intricate concepts. According to the findings, language would be unorganized, inconsistent, and unable to communicate nuanced relational concepts without functional speech components. Their research enhances our knowledge of the structural, rule-based nature of the English language, which is dependent on elements that have meaning and structural importance.

Conclusion

An examination of functional components of language reveals that these grammatical features are essential to the structure, clarity, and cohesiveness of the English language. Functional components of speech, in contrast to lexical categories that convey specific semantic meaning, serve as the organizational structure that ties sentences together and facilitates effective communication. Their duties, albeit frequently understated, make sure that words are clearly defined, ideas are logically related, and messages are expressed with accuracy and subtlety. Prepositions express specific spatial, temporal, and conceptual connections, whereas pronouns help maintain textual coherence by substituting nouns and avoiding needless repetition. By connecting concepts and clauses, conjunctions allow us to create complicated phrases that express complex thought patterns. Serving as significant markers within noun phrases, determiners offer crucial details about the specificity, amount, and identity of nouns. Particles contribute layers of idiomatic and syntactic significance that increase the richness of verbal expression in English, while auxiliary verbs increase the language's expressive potential by creating tenses, aspects, voices, and moods.

The research demonstrates unequivocally that functional components of speech collaborate to produce a grammatical system that is both stable and adaptable. The

equilibrium between form and meaning, which is essential for linguistic communication, is brought out by the way their functions are connected. Without these practical features, language would be incoherent, unclear, and unable to convey complicated concepts or arrange thoughts into a logical discussion. By shedding light on the fundamental workings of English grammar, knowing the purpose of these groups improves linguistic awareness and language instruction, learning, and analysis. Ultimately, the invisible architecture of language is made up of functional components of speech, which facilitate the smooth and precise communication of information necessary for interpersonal interaction.

THE LIST OF USED LITERATURES:

1. Akmajian, A., Demers, R. A., Farmer, A. K., & Harnish, R. M. (2017). *Linguistics: An Introduction to Language and Communication*. MIT Press.
2. Bloomfield, L. (1933). *Language*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
3. Chomsky, N. (1995). *The Minimalist Program*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
4. Crystal, D. (2008). *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics*. Oxford: Blackwell.
5. Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. (2014). *Halliday's Introduction to Functional Grammar*. London: Routledge.
6. Huddleston, R., & Pullum, G. K. (2002). *The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language*. Cambridge University Press.
7. Jespersen, O. (1924). *The Philosophy of Grammar*. London: Allen & Unwin.
8. Nafisa, T. (2023). NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 292-297.
9. Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 465-469.
10. Nafisa, T. (2023). THE USA ECONOMY, INDUSTRY, MANUFACTURING AND NATURAL RESOURCES OF GREAT BRITAIN. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENTLY SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHER'S THEORY, 1(9), 94-97.
11. Nafisa, T. (2023). Secondary ways of word formation. In Conference on Universal Science Research (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).
12. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). Compound sentences in the English language. Yangi O'zbekiston taraqqiyotida tadqiqotlarni o'rni va rivojlanish omillari, 2(2), 68-70.
13. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In Conference on Universal Science Research (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).
14. Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). General meanings of the category of tenses. International Journal of Development and Public Policy, 1(6), 70-72.
15. Zubaydulla, T. N. (2023). THE CLASSIFICATION OF SYNONYMS AND THEIR SPECIFIC FEATURES.". XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" nomli respublika ilmiy-amaliy konferensiyasi, 1(12), 126-131.
16. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). CHANGES OF MEANING OF WORDS. Центральноазиатский журнал образования и инноваций, 2(12), 126-129.
17. Teshaboyeva, N., & Erkaboyeva, S. (2024). TEACHING LISTENING WITH TECHNOLOGY. Молодые ученые, 2(35), 46-49.
18. Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. London: Longman.
19. Radford, A. (2009). *Analyzing English Sentences: A Minimalist Approach*. Cambridge University Press.
20. Swan, M. (2016). *Practical English Usage*. Oxford University Press.