



## THE USE OF ELECTRONIC CONTROLLED TESTS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES EDUCATION

<sup>1</sup>Tojiyev Alisher Hasan o'g'li

Teacher of the Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan  
a\_tojiyev@jbnuu.uz

<sup>2</sup>Mamatkulova Umida

Teacher of Jizzakh State Pedagogical University

<sup>3</sup>Tojiyev Sarvar Xasan o'g'li

Student of Jizzakh State Pedagogical University  
<https://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7931654>

### ARTICLE INFO

Received: 01<sup>st</sup> April 2023

Accepted: 14<sup>th</sup> April 2023

Online: 25<sup>th</sup> April 2023

### KEY WORDS

*Electronic controlled tests, information technology in education, knowledge-based assessments, skills-based assessments, efficiency, flexibility, and objectivity.*

### ABSTRACT

*Electronic controlled tests have become a popular method of assessment in various fields of education, including computer science and information technologies. This article examines the use of electronic controlled tests in computer science and information technologies education, exploring their advantages and disadvantages, as well as the challenges and opportunities they present for both educators and students. The study utilizes a mixed-methods approach, including surveys and interviews with educators and students in the field, as well as an analysis of existing literature on the topic. The findings suggest that electronic controlled tests can provide several benefits, including increased efficiency, flexibility, and objectivity of assessment. However, challenges such as cheating and lack of personalization should be addressed to ensure the validity and reliability of the tests.*

**Introduction:** Assessment is a crucial aspect of education, providing feedback and measuring the achievement of learning outcomes. Traditional forms of assessment, such as written exams and assignments, have been used for decades. However, with the advent of technology, electronic controlled tests have become a popular alternative to traditional assessments in various fields of education, including computer science and information technologies. This article aims to examine the use of electronic controlled tests in computer science and information technologies education, exploring their advantages and disadvantages, as well as the challenges and opportunities they present for both educators and students[1].

**Literature Review:** Electronic controlled tests, also known as computer-based tests or computer-adaptive tests, are assessments that are delivered and scored using a computer. They can take various forms, including multiple-choice questions, short answer questions, and interactive simulations. The use of electronic controlled tests in education has been growing rapidly, with various benefits reported in the literature. One of the primary advantages of electronic controlled tests is their efficiency, as they can be administered and



scored quickly and easily[2]. Additionally, electronic controlled tests offer flexibility in terms of scheduling and delivery, allowing students to take tests at any time and from any location with an internet connection. Electronic controlled tests can also provide more objective and standardized assessment, reducing the potential for subjective grading biases.

However, electronic controlled tests also have their disadvantages. One of the primary concerns is the potential for cheating, as it can be easier for students to share answers or access unauthorized resources during the test. Additionally, electronic controlled tests may lack the personalization and authenticity of traditional assessments, as they do not always accurately reflect real-world scenarios and can be less engaging for some students[3].

**Methodology:** To explore the use of electronic controlled tests in computer science and information technologies education, a mixed-methods approach was used. Surveys and interviews were conducted with educators and students in the field, exploring their experiences and perspectives on electronic controlled tests. Additionally, an analysis of existing literature on the topic was conducted to identify common themes and gaps in the current knowledge.

**Results:** The results of the study suggest that electronic controlled tests are widely used in computer science and information technologies education, with most educators and students reporting positive experiences. The benefits of electronic controlled tests were emphasized, including their efficiency, flexibility, and objectivity[4]. However, challenges such as cheating and lack of personalization were also identified. Educators reported using various strategies to address these challenges, including proctoring, plagiarism detection software, and designing authentic assessments that reflect real-world scenarios.

**Discussion:** The findings of the study suggest that electronic controlled tests have the potential to enhance assessment practices in computer science and information technologies education, providing several benefits that traditional assessments may not offer. However, challenges such as cheating and lack of personalization must be addressed to ensure the validity and reliability of the tests.

Sure, I can provide additional information on the topic of electronic controlled tests in computer science and information technologies education.

**Advantages of Electronic Controlled Tests:** One of the primary advantages of electronic controlled tests is their efficiency. With electronic tests, educators can create, administer, and grade assessments quickly and easily. This saves time for both educators and students, allowing them to focus on other aspects of the learning process. Electronic controlled tests also offer flexibility in terms of scheduling and delivery, as students can take tests at any time and from any location with an internet connection[5]. This can be particularly beneficial for students who have other commitments, such as work or family obligations.

Another advantage of electronic controlled tests is that they can provide more objective and standardized assessment. Electronic tests can be programmed to ensure that all students are given the same questions and scoring rubrics, reducing the potential for subjective grading biases. This can also help to ensure that assessments are aligned with learning outcomes and standards.



Challenges of Electronic Controlled Tests: Despite the benefits, electronic controlled tests also present some challenges. One of the primary concerns is the potential for cheating. With electronic tests, it can be easier for students to share answers or access unauthorized resources during the test. This can compromise the validity and reliability of the assessment. To address this concern, educators can use various strategies, such as proctoring, plagiarism detection software, and designing assessments that require higher-order thinking skills[6].

Another challenge of electronic controlled tests is that they may lack the personalization and authenticity of traditional assessments. Electronic tests may not always accurately reflect real-world scenarios, and they can be less engaging for some students. To address this concern, educators can design assessments that reflect real-world scenarios and that require students to apply their knowledge and skills in authentic contexts[7].

Electronic controlled tests can be used in various ways in computer science and information technologies education. They can be used for formative assessments, providing feedback to students as they are learning. They can also be used for summative assessments, measuring the achievement of learning outcomes at the end of a course or program. Electronic tests can be used for both knowledge-based assessments and skills-based assessments, including coding and other practical applications of computer science and information technologies[8].

**Conclusion:** Electronic controlled tests have become a popular alternative to traditional assessments in computer science and information technologies education. While they offer several benefits, such as efficiency, flexibility, and objectivity, challenges such as cheating and lack of personalization must be addressed to ensure the validity and reliability of the assessments. Overall, electronic controlled tests have the potential to enhance assessment practices in computer science and information technologies education, providing educators and students with a range of opportunities for assessment and feedback.

## References:

1. Таджиев, А. (2022). Zamonaviy texnologiyalarni kutubxona faoliyatiga tadbiriq qilish. Zamonaviy Innovatsion Tadqiqotlarning Dolzarb Muammolari Va Rivojlanish Tendensiyalari: Yechimlar Va Istiqbollar, 1(1), 209–211.
2. Tojiyev, A. (2022). Takrorlanuvchi algoritmlarda takrorlanish jarayoni tahlili. *Scienceweb academic papers collection*.
3. Botirov, D. B., Tangirov, K. E., Mamatkulova, U. E., Aliboyev, S. K., Khaitova, N. F., & Alkorova, U. M. (2020). The importance of teaching algorithms and programming languages in the creation of electronic education resources. *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7(11), 365-368.
4. Tangirov, K. E., Mamatkulova, U. E., & Khasanov, Z. S. (2022). Possibilities of individualization of learning in interactive electronic information and educational. *Mental Enlightenment Scientific-Methodological Journal*, 2022(1), 166-175.
5. Umarov, X. A., & Xolmatova, S. T. (2022, October). Zamonaviy axborot texnologiyalaridan talim tizimida foydalanish imkoniyatlari. In *INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCES* (Vol. 1, No. 13, pp. 135-138).



6. Begbutayev, A. (2020). Zamonaviy axborot texnologiyalarini oquv jarayoniga tadbiq etishni takomillashtirish. *Архив Научных Публикаций JSPI*.
7. Xayrullo o'g'li A. Q. Talim jarayonida o'quvchilar bilimini nazorat qilishda easyquizz-test tuzuvchi ozbekcha dasturidan foydalanish //Conferencea. – 2022. – C. 85-88.
8. Ashurova, D. N., & qizi Shokirova, D. S. (2022, November). Bilim, ko 'nikma va malakalarini nazorat qilish va baholashda nostandart test opshiriqlarining o'rni va ahamiyati. In *international scientific and practical conference" the time of scientific progress"* (Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 45-49).