

Innovative Academy Research Support Center

UIF = 8.2 | SJIF = 6.051

www.in-academy.uz



# SYNCRETISM OF SYNTACTICAL RELATIONS IN UZBEK COMPLEX SENTENCES

## Sirojova Zarnigor Nasriddinovna

The teacher of Bukhara State University.
Sirojova1994@gmail.com
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7192310

### **ARTICLE INFO**

Received: 01st October 2022 Accepted: 05th October 2022 Online: 13th October 2022

#### **KEY WORDS**

syncretism, syntactical relations, subordination, coordination, complex sentences, intonation, semantic relations, relation of purpose, relation of time, relation of condition, polyfunctionality, predicativeness, non-predicativeness.

**ABSTRACT** 

The phenomenon of syncretism is described differently in various fields and signifies several functions. One of these functions of syncretism is to combine grammatical units and syntactic relations. Syntactic relations means the connection of distinct clauses in the sentences with the help of coordination, subordination and intonation; predicativeness and non-predicativeness; according to the content of the meaning: the relations of cause, time, purpose, condition, result, place, etc. In this article below we will analyze the syncretism of Uzbek complex sentences and their syntactic relations.

Introduction. It should be noted that syntactical relations can be implied as a tool of conjunctions which serves to combine two simple sentences and and create one complex. Syncretism of syntactical relations in complex sentences investigates and unites the interaction of these relations. Syntactical relations can be divided into several types and according to the content of the meaning they are listed as a following:

- Syntactical relation of time
- Syntactical relation of place
- Syntactical relation of result
- Syntactical relation of purpose
- Syntactical relation of cause
- Syntactical relation of condition
- Syntactical relation of comparison

**>** .

Literature review. The theoretical basis of the research relies on the fundamental theories of transitivity and syncretism which were deeply studied by V.V. Babaitseva, V.N. Migirin, V.I. Kodukhov and others. Also, the works dedicated to linguistic phenomena syncretic were S.A.Aleksanova. published bv Artyushkov, V.V. Babaitseva, A. Ya. Bauder, L.D. Bednarskaya, I.V. Vysotskaya, , PV Chesnokov, L D. Chesnokova, K.E. Stein et al. Structural-semantic classifications compound sentences N.S. Pospelov, V.A. Beloshapkova, L.Yu. Maksimov, S.G. Ilyenko, L.D. studied by Bednarskaya.1

Переходность и синкретизм в языке и речи. -М., 1991.-С. 3-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Бабайцева В.В. Место переходных явлений в системе языка (на материале частей речи) //



Innovative Academy Research Support Center

UIF = 8.2 | SJIF = 6.051

www.in-academv.uz

Analysis."Ba'zilari nimani gapirgan bo'lsa, shuni qogʻozga tushirdim." in this complex sentence syntactical relations of condition and result can be clearly identified and seen how these two relations syncretize as a whole. In the first clause the word "bo'lsa" expresses condition, while "tushirdim" in the second clause means consequence of the action.

In the sentence given below we can observe syncretism of SR of condition comparison:

"Razm solib qarsangiz, chinni oppoq laganning ikki chetiga ikkita piyola toʻnkarib qoʻyilganga oʻxshaydi" ushbu ergash gapli qo`shma gapda

- Condition: agar solib razm qarasangiz,
- Comparison: oppoq chinni laganning ikki chetiga ikkita pivola to'nkarib qoʻyilganga oʻxshaydi.

In the next sentence, we can observe that relations in which the subordinate clause expressing the meaning of the condition is syncretized with the principal clause with the meaning of the result: "Ma'lim ertaga qaytib kelsa, qaysi yuz bilan koʻziga garayman!"

In the following sentence, you can notice the syntactic relations of condition, time, and "Ketidan consequence: ishkal chiqsa, prorabnimi, brigadirnimi aybdor qiladi." Here "Ketidan ishkal chiqsa"- conditional suffix, "prorabnimi, brigadirnimi aybdor qiladi"- result and these two clauses spontaneously syntactically syncretize in one complex sentence. This phenomena can also be seen in the following sentence: "Gitlerning xotinini qilib cho'ri ishlat**masam**, xumordan **chiqmayman**!"

"Tugundagi narsa toʻn bilan doʻppi ekanini bilib, yuragim ezildi." - and in this sentence, with the cause relation and the result (consequence) relations together combined and syncretized in a complex sentence.

And in the following sentence, suffix -ki forms a compound sentence with an and adverbial clause, expresses the syntactic relation of cause, the principal clause expresses the relation of result: "Alam qiladigan joyi shundaki, siz ulardan xohlaganingizni ajratib ololmaysiz."

"Dadam har gal gugurt chizganida, moʻylovi yonib ketmasaydi deb qoʻrqaman."- in this sentence we can see how three syntactical relations interact and syncretize in one sentence.

Dadam **har gal** gugurt chizganida – time moʻylovi yonib ketmasaydi deb - cause qoʻrqaman – result

In the following sample we can analyze the combination of time relation with the relation of result creating the phenomena of syncretism: "Bir marta Alvasti koʻprikda ajina bormish deganimda, dadam so'kib ber**gan**".

Bir marta Alvasti koʻprikda ajina bormish deganimda - (when?) time

dadam so'kib bergan.- (what happened?) result.

"Endi danak chaqish uchun oʻrnimdan turmoqchi edim, ammamning ovozi chiqib goldi." - when analyzing the sentence, it can be understood that in this sentence, both the goal and the time and result relations are syncretized:

Volume 2 Issue 11, October 2022 ISSN 2181-2888

Чесноков П.В. Синкретизм статический // динамический Языковая деятельность: переходность и синкретизм. - М. - Ставрополь, 2001.-C. 27-30.

Чеснокова Л.Д. Грамматические вопросы как средство анализа предложений // РЯШ, 1978. - № 2 - C. 46-52



Innovative Academy Research Support Center

UIF = 8.2 | SJIF = 6.051

www.in-academv.uz

When? - Endi turmoqchi edim - time Why?- danak chaqish uchun – purpose What happened? – ammamning ovozi chiqib qoldi.- result

We can see the combination of time, goal and result relations in one syntactic device when analyzing the following sentence: "Kimsan akam yettinchini bitirganidan keyin shaharga borib o'qimoqchi edi, bobom yoʻl qoʻymadi".

When? - Kimsan akam yettinchini bitirganidan keyin - time

Why? - Kimsan shaharga borib oʻqimoqchi edi - purpose

What happened? - bobom yo'l qo'ymadi"result

In the following sentence we can determine how the syntactical relations of purpoce and place combine and syncretize: "Bola narsa sevina qolsin deb joʻxorining sutlirogʻidan tanlab, qoʻrga koʻmdim."

- Bola narsa sevina golsin deb -(why?)- purpose
- qo'rga ko'mdim (where?) place Discussion. We should note syncretism is a language phenomena that combines and neutralizes opposite or different syntactical relations putting them together at the same syntagmatic level, it is an irreversible systematic shift and living process accompanying the use of language to the simultaneous use of speech units in the process of language development.

**Conclusion.** From the examples given above, it can be seen that in the Uzbek language, various relationships can occur together creating syncretism sentences of which condition-result and cause-result relationships are the most

common types of syntactic relationships. About the essence and importance of this syntactic relations O'.Q. Yusupov says: "Условно-следственное отношение является одним из коммуникативно важных универсиальных смысловых Будучи разновидностью отношений. общей категории казуальности, это отношение близко соприкасается с причинно-результативными

отношениями. Условно-следственное отношение состоит из двух частей (концов), первая из которых называется условием, вторая - следствием (в логике называются "основанием" они "следствием" или же "антецедентом" и "консеквентом"). Если условие представлено явлением А, следствиеявлением Б, TO содержание этого отношения такое, что осуществление А приводит, может привести или привело бы к осуществлению Б. Иными словами Б осуществление зависит A. осуществления Графически ЭТО отношение можно представить так: А → Б, где А и Б событие или явление, → условно следственное отношение. Условно-следственное отношение обощено отражает зависимую связь между явлениями объективной действительности или же между воображаемыми явлениями."<sup>2</sup>In conclusion we can say that in Uzbek language complex sentences which consist of one independent and one or two subordinate clauses have syntactical relations which interact and combine together and creates syncretism.

## **References:**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Yusupov O`.Q-Ma`no, tushuncha konsept va lingvokulturologiya atamalari xususida-Toshkent 2011.-B. 40-50



Innovative Academy Research Support Center

UIF = 8.2 | SJIF = 6.051

www.in-academv.uz

- 1. Nasriddinovna, S. Z. ional Study of Syntactical Relations o pound Sentences in Uzbek Linguistics.
- 2. Nasriddinovna, S. Z. (2022, April). CLASSIFICATION OF SYNTACTIC RELATIONS IN COMPOUND SENTENCES. In International Conference on Research Identity, Value and Ethics (pp. 121-123).
- 3. Ubaydullayeva, Dilafruz, and Zubaydullo Rasulov. "Dealing with phonetic units in teaching pronunciation." Конференции. 2021.
- 4. Otabekovna, S. M. (2022). Comparative Analysis of Proverbs and Sayings in English and Uzbek Expressing Youth and Senility. *Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT*, 2(5), 114-119. Retrieved from
  - https://literature.academicjournal.io/index.php/literature/article/view/360
- 5. Izomovich, Rasulov Zubaydullo, and Ubaydullayeva Dilafruz Fazliddinovna. "Implications from syntax for teaching a second language." Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes (2022): 320-323.
- 6. Yusupov O'.Q-Ma'no, tushuncha konsept va lingvokulturologiya atamalari xususida-Toshkent 2011.-B. 40-50
- 7. Бабайцева В.В. Место переходных явлений в системе языка (на материале частей речи) // Переходность и синкретизм в языке и речи. -М., 1991.-С. 3-14.
- 8. Чесноков П.В. Синкретизм статический и динамический // Языковая деятельность: переходность и синкретизм. - М. - Ставрополь, 2001.-С. 27-30.
- 9. Чеснокова Л.Д. Грамматические вопросы как средство анализа предложений // РЯШ, 1978. - № 2 - С. 46-52