

PHRASE THEORY: PHRASE TYPES AND SUBTYPES: COORDINATE, SUBORDINATE AND PREDICATIVE PHRASES, PROBLEM OF MIXED TYPES OF PHRASES

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi

Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan

named after Mirzo Ulugbek

The faculty of Psychology, department of Foreign languages

Phylology and foreign languages

nafisateshaboyeva@jbnuu.uz

Qarshiboyeva Marjona Baxtiyor qizi

Student of group 402-22

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17891719>

Annotation: This study explores the fundamental principles of phrase theory, with a particular focus on English phrases. It examines their internal structure, classification, and syntactic functions, providing a clear understanding of how phrases operate within sentences. The paper discusses the three main types of phrases: coordinate, subordinate, and predicative, highlighting their characteristics, grammatical roles, and how they interact with other elements in a sentence. Special attention is paid to mixed-type phrases, which exhibit properties of more than one category, making their analysis more complex. By investigating these phrase relations, the study demonstrates how phrases contribute to the overall meaning, clarity, and organization of sentences, emphasizing their essential role in both written and spoken English. The research also shows the importance of understanding phrase structure for language learning, translation, and syntactic analysis.

Key words: phrase theory, coordinate phrases, subordinate phrases, predicative phrases, mixed phrase types, syntactic relations, coordination, subordination, syntax.

Phrase theory is a fundamental part of syntax that studies how words combine to form larger structural units within a sentence. A phrase is a grammatical unit consisting of two or more words that function together but do not form a complete sentence. Linguists commonly classify phrases according to the syntactic relations of their elements: coordinate, subordinate, and predicative. In real language, many phrases exhibit characteristics of more than one type, resulting in mixed-type phrases. This classification and discussion follows the framework presented by Teshaboyeva and Safarboyeva (2024).

Phrases can be classified into different types based on their structure and role in a sentence. The main types are:

1. Coordinate phrases: words of equal importance joined together (cats and dogs).
2. Subordinate phrases: one main word (head) is modified by other words (a beautiful painting).
3. Predicative phrases: phrases that express an action, state, or situation, often using non-finite verbs (running fast, to stay there).

Phrase theory helps linguists, teachers, and learners understand sentence structure, improve writing, and analyze how meaning is created in language.

Coordinate Phrases are groups in which all elements have equal syntactic status. The elements are usually joined by coordinating conjunctions such as and, or, but (syndetic

coordination) or may appear without a conjunction in asyndetic coordination. The order of the elements can often be changed without significantly affecting meaning. For example, in cats and dogs, both nouns are of equal status and linked by “and”. In the asyndetic example hot, dusty, tired out, adjectives are listed without conjunctions but retain equal weight. Another example is the adverbial coordinate phrase slowly but carefully, where “but” connects two adverbs expressing contrast. Coordinate phrases serve to add information, indicate alternatives, or show contrast.

Subordinate Phrases are built on a hierarchical structure where one element, the head, dominates other elements called dependents or modifiers. Subordinate phrases include noun phrases (NP), verb phrases (VP), adjective phrases (AdjP), adverbial phrases (AdvP), and prepositional phrases (PP). Each dependent contributes essential meaning, and removing it can change the head’s significance. Examples include: a beautiful painting (NP: head “painting” + modifier “a beautiful”), saw a house (VP: head “saw” + object “a house”), extremely red (AdjP: head “red” + modifier “extremely”), very carefully (AdvP: head “carefully” + modifier “very”), and in the ocean (PP: head “in” + complement “the ocean”). Subordinate phrases are typically endocentric, meaning the whole phrase functions syntactically like its head, and they enhance sentence complexity by adding details, qualifiers, or circumstances.

Predicative Phrases occupy a position between phrases and clauses. They combine a nominal element with a verb, often non-finite, forming a “mini-clause” structure. Subtypes include infinitive phrases (to stay there, for you to go), gerundial or participial phrases (running fast, spoken softly), and absolute constructions (glass in hand). Predicative phrases express a situation, action, or state in a condensed form. There is debate among linguists about whether these structures should be classified as phrases or reduced clauses, highlighting their transitional nature. Examples from real language include I saw him running and I asked him to stay, both of which function predicatively.

Mixed-Type Phrases appear when a phrase contains features of multiple types. For example, in red and blue pencils, “red and blue” forms a coordinate adjective pair that functions subordinately as a modifier of the noun “pencils”. Another example is brought pens and pencils, which combines coordination and subordination. Mixed-type phrases illustrate the limitations of strict classifications and require flexible analysis frameworks such as dependency grammar or generative syntax.

In conclusion, phrase types are very important for anyone studying English syntax or trying to improve their language skills. Coordinate, subordinate, and predicative phrases each play a unique role in how sentences are built and how meaning is conveyed. Coordinate phrases help us join equal ideas or words, subordinate phrases give extra detail and clarify meaning, and predicative phrases let us express actions or states in a short, compact way. In real language, things are often not so clear-cut. Mixed-type phrases show that phrases can have features of more than one type at the same time. This flexibility is part of what makes language rich and expressive. By learning how to identify and use these phrases, students, writers, and linguists can understand sentence structure more deeply, communicate more clearly, and analyze texts more effectively. Overall, phrase theory is not just about memorizing rules. It is about recognizing patterns, understanding relationships between words, and seeing how meaning is built in natural language. Using this knowledge in writing, speaking, translation, or teaching makes language use more precise and adaptable. Recognizing the importance of

mixed-type phrases also reminds us that language is flexible, and real communication often goes beyond strict categories.

Adabiyotlar, References, Литературы:

1. Teshaboyeva, N., & Safarboyeva, S. (2024). Phrase Theory: Phrase Types and Subtypes: Coordinate, Subordinate and Predicative Phrases. Universal Publishing.
2. Iriskulov, A.T. (2008). Theoretical Grammar of English. Samarkand University Press.
3. Huddleston, R., & Pullum, G.K. (2002). The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language. Cambridge University Press.
4. Carnie, A. (2013). Syntax: A Generative Introduction. Wiley-Blackwell.
5. Hudson, R. (2000). Essential Introductory Linguistics. Blackwell.
6. Nafisa, T. (2023). NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 292-297.
7. Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 465-469.
8. Nafisa, T. (2023). THE USA ECONOMY, INDUSTRY, MANUFACTURING AND NATURAL RESOURCES OF GREAT BRITAIN. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENTLY SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHER'S THEORY, 1(9), 94-97.
9. Nafisa, T. (2023). Secondary ways of word formation. In Conference on Universal Science Research (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).
10. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). Compound sentences in the English language. Yangi O'zbekiston taraqqiyotida tadqiqotlarni o'rni va rivojlanish omillari, 2(2), 68-70.
11. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In Conference on Universal Science Research (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).
12. Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). General meanings of the category of tenses. International Journal of Development and Public Policy, 1(6), 70-72.
13. Zubaydulla, T. N. (2023). THE CLASSIFICATION OF SYNONYMS AND THEIR SPECIFIC FEATURES.". XXI ASRDA INNOVATION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" nomli respublika ilmiy-amaliy konferensiyasi, 1(12), 126-131.
14. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). CHANGES OF MEANING OF WORDS. Центральноеазиатский журнал образования и инноваций, 2(12), 126-129.
15. Teshaboyeva, N., & Erkaboyeva, S. (2024). TEACHING LISTENING WITH TECHNOLOGY. Молодые ученые, 2(35), 46-49.