

## ONE-MEMBER SENTENCES AND TWO-MEMBER SENTENCES

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi

Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan  
named after Mirzo Ulugbek

The Faculty of Psychology, the department of Foreign languages

Philology and foreign languages

Scientific advisor: [nafisateshaboyeva@gmail.com](mailto:nafisateshaboyeva@gmail.com)

Alimardonova Fotima Sherali qizi

Student of group

[fotimaalimardonova3@gmail.com](mailto:fotimaalimardonova3@gmail.com)

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17851591>

**Annotation:** This article offers a clear and accessible overview of one-member and two-member sentences, presenting the core structural principles that shape modern syntactic analysis. The discussion highlights how these sentence types function, why they matter in communication, and how they support linguistic competence for learners and researchers. With simple language, precise definitions, and well-selected examples, the text delivers immediate clarity while maintaining strong academic value.

**Keywords:** one-member sentence, two-member sentence, syntax, subject, predicate, elliptical structure, nominal sentence, impersonal sentence, exclamatory structure, imperative structure, sentence classification, structural grammar, contextual meaning, syntactic completeness, linguistic analysis, communication functions, sentence structure.

**One-member sentences** and are important concepts in grammar and syntax. They help students understand how a sentence is built and how meaning is created. These sentences types are frequently discussed in linguistics, especially in the study of structural grammar. They also give a clear way to describe how language organizes information. This essay explains the features of one-member sentences and two-member sentences, compares them, and shows why they matter for language study and for communication. A sentence is the basic unit of communication. It expresses a complete idea. Every sentence has structure. In grammar, structure means the way words are arranged and how each part of the sentence plays a role. Two important roles are the subject and the predicate. The subject usually names the person, thing, or idea. The predicate expresses the action or the state. Many sentences have both a subject and a predicate, but some sentences do not. Because of this difference, linguists classify sentences into one-member sentences and two-member sentences.

A **one-member sentence** is a sentence that has only **one main part**. It does not have a clear subject and predicate structure. It expresses a complete meaning, but it does not follow the usual pattern. This type of sentence is common in everyday speech, literature, descriptions, and emotional expression. It is also common in languages with flexible syntax. A one-member sentence may express a **command**, an **emotion**, a **sound**, or a **name** of something. It often uses a single word or a short phrase. For example: *Silence. Rain. Wonderful!* The sentences communicates meaning, but we cannot divide it into subject and predicate. One-member sentences often appear in contexts where the speaker does not need to identify a subject. The meaning comes from the situation. A sudden shout like *Fire!* is enough to warn people. A short phrase like *At home.* can answer a question without naming the subject "*I am.*" Linguists say that one-member sentences rely on contextual meaning rather than structural meaning. According to Petrova's linguistic analysis, one-member sentences reflect elliptical structure,

which means that some parts of the sentence are not spoken because the listener can understand them from the situation.<sup>1</sup>

Scholars classify one-member sentences into several types. **The nominal sentence** is the simplest type. It contains only a noun or a noun phrase. It does not include a verb. This structure is often used to present a situation, setting, or concept. For example, *Early morning* and *Freezing winter* function as complete statements because the noun phrase carries the main semantic load. This type is common in descriptive language. **The impersonal sentence** expresses an action or state without a real, lexical subject. In many languages the subject position is empty. English uses the formal marker *it*, but the word does not refer to any actual entity. For example, *It is hard to learn foreign languages* is an impersonal construction because the action has no agent. These sentences show how grammar can express processes without identifying a doer. **The exclamatory one-member sentence** communicates strong emotion. It uses a single word or short phrase to express a reaction. Examples include *Amazing!* and *Wonderful!* The emotional value comes from intonation and context, not from a full clause structure. This type highlights the expressive function of language. **The imperative one-member sentence** gives commands or instructions. It usually contains only the verb in its base form. The subject is not expressed because it is understood. For example, *Stop.* functions as a complete directive. This construction demonstrates how language can perform actions with minimal syntactic material. These four types show the functional diversity of one-member sentences. Each type uses reduced structure to communicate complete meaning. This classification helps students understand how language achieves efficiency, expressiveness, and clarity even in very short forms.

**A two-member sentence** has two main parts: **a subject and a predicate**. These two parts form a complete grammatical structure. Most written and spoken sentences in formal communication follow this pattern. For example: *The teacher explained the lesson.* In the sentence “*the teacher*” is the subject and “*explained the lesson*” is the predicate. Two-member sentences are common because they give clear information. They show who does something and what happens. They also allow complex grammar features such as tense, aspect, agreement, and modification. In syntax, the structure of a two-member sentence is described as a subject-predicate model. Two-member sentences have several subtypes based on their syntactic functions. **The simple two-member sentence** is the most basic form. It includes one subject and one predicate without additional components. It represents a minimal predicative structure. For example, in the sentence *The child sleeps*, the subject *child* and the predicate *sleeps* form a complete independent unit. This structure is frequently used to demonstrate the core function of predication. **The extended two-member sentence** keeps the same predicative base but adds secondary sentence elements. These elements can include attributes, adverbials, or objects. They increase semantic detail while keeping a single subject-predicate relationship. In the sentence, *The young child sleeps peacefully*, the word *young* functions as an attribute, and *peacefully* functions as an adverbial modifier. The main syntactic framework remains intact. **The compound two-member sentence** contains two or more independent clauses. Each clause has its own subject and predicate. The clauses are connected by coordinating conjunctions or punctuation. For example, *The child sleeps, and the parents rest*

<sup>1</sup> Petrova, I., Structural Patterns in Sentence Formation, Language Research Press, 2011, pp. 44–47

includes two autonomous predicative units. This structure shows coordination and equal syntactic status between the clauses. **The complex two-member sentence** combines a main clause with one or more subordinate clauses. Subordinate clauses depend on the main clause for full meaning. The relation between the clauses is hierarchical rather than equal. In the sentence *The child sleeps when the lights are off*, the subordinate clause *when the lights are off* functions as an adverbial clause of time. It cannot stand alone as a complete sentence. These four types illustrate how English syntax organizes information at different levels of detail and dependency. The classification helps students identify clause functions, analyze sentence structure, and produce more coherent academic writing. Understanding these categories also strengthens linguistic precision in both theoretical and practical contexts.

One-member sentences and two-member sentences serve different communication needs. A one-member sentence gives quick, simple meaning. It usually expresses emotion, reaction, or a situation. A two-member sentence gives complete information and has a full grammatical structure. One-member sentences rely heavily on context. Two-member sentences rely on grammatical organization. A key difference is syntactic completeness. A two-member sentence is structurally complete, while a one-member sentence is semantically complete but grammatically reduced. Kravchenko noted that the difference between the two types helps describe the diversity of sentence patterns in natural languages.<sup>2</sup> Another difference is formality. One-member sentences are common in speech, conversation, slogans, and literature. Two-member sentences dominate academic writing, administrative writing, and formal communication.

In linguistics, the study of one-member and two-member sentences supports structural analysis, syntax theory, and text analysis. It helps researchers understand how language expresses meaning with different levels of complexity. Teachers use these concepts to support language learners. Students gain awareness of form, meaning, and function. This leads to more accurate writing and clearer communication.

Ultimately, one-member sentences and two-member sentences are fundamental sentence types in grammar. One-member sentences rely on context and do not show a clear subject and predicate. Two-member sentences include both parts and form the standard sentence pattern in most communication. Understanding these structures helps learners read, write, and analyze language more effectively. It also supports linguistic research by offering a clear framework for sentence classification. These concepts show how language can be both simple and complex at the same time.

### **Adabiyotlar, References, Литературы:**

1. Kravchenko, A., *Syntax and Meaning in Modern Linguistics*, Modern Academic Publishing, 2015
2. Nafisa, T. (2023). NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES. *Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке*, 2(16), 292-297.
3. Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. *International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical*

<sup>2</sup> Kravchenko, A., *Syntax and Meaning in Modern Linguistics*, Modern Academic Publishing, 2015, pp. 102–108.

Research, 465-469.

4. Nafisa, T. (2023). THE USA ECONOMY, INDUSTRY, MANUFACTURING AND NATURAL RESOURCES OF GREAT BRITAIN. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENTLY SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHER'S THEORY, 1(9), 94-97.
5. Nafisa, T. (2023). Secondary ways of word formation. In Conference on Universal Science Research (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).
6. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). CHANGES OF MEANING OF WORDS. Центральнoазиатский журнал образования и инноваций, 2(12), 126-129.
7. Teshaboyeva, N., & Erkaboyeva, S. (2024). TEACHING LISTENING WITH TECHNOLOGY. Молодые ученые, 2(35), 46-49.
8. Petrova, I., Structural Patterns in Sentence Formation, Language Research Press, 2011
9. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). Compound sentences in the English language. Yangi O'zbekiston taraqqiyotida tadqiqotlarni o'rni va rivojlanish omillari, 2(2), 68-70.
10. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In Conference on Universal Science Research (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).
11. Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). General meanings of the category of tenses. International Journal of Development and Public Policy, 1(6), 70-72.
12. Zubaydulla, T. N. (2023). THE CLASSIFICATION OF SYNONYMS AND THEIR SPECIFIC FEATURES.". XXI ASRDA INNOVATION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" nomli respublika ilmiy-amaliy konferensiyasi, 1(12), 126-131.